# **BMJ Global Health**

# May Measurement Month 2022: results from the global blood pressure screening campaign

Thomas Beaney <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Gabriele Keziah Kerr <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>1,2</sup> Gaia Kiru, <sup>3</sup> Harsha McArdle, <sup>4</sup> Markus Schlaich <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>5,6</sup> Aletta E Schutte <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>7,8</sup> George S Stergiou, <sup>9</sup> Ji-guang Wang, <sup>10,11</sup> Marcos J Marin, <sup>12</sup> Rafael Henandez-Hernandez, <sup>13</sup> Alejandro Bimbo F Diaz, <sup>14</sup> Luis Alcocer, <sup>15</sup> Patricio Lopez-Jaramillo, <sup>16</sup> Neil Poulter <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>3</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction** Elevated blood pressure (BP) is the major contributor to mortality and disease burden worldwide. May Measurement Month (MMM) is a global BP screening campaign, which aims to raise awareness of BP measurement and provide evidence to inform and influence related health policy.

Methods This cross-sectional survey included individuals aged≥18 years recruited through opportunistic sampling at sites in 60 countries during MMM 2022. Each participant had three sitting BP measurements and a questionnaire was completed including demographics, comorbidities and lifestyle factors. Hypertension was defined as a systolic BP≥140 mm Hg and/or a diastolic BP≥90 mm Hg (average of the second and third readings) or taking antihypertensive medication. Multiple imputation was used to estimate BP readings where any participant's BP readings were missing. Linear mixed effects models were used to identify associations between participant characteristics and systolic or diastolic BP.

**Results** Of the 715518 participants surveyed (excluding 50 200 self-measured home BP screenees recruited via the ZOE Health Study app), 257 421 (36.0%) were identified as hypertensive, of whom 57.6% were aware and 49.3% were on antihypertensive medication. Of all participants with hypertension, 26.1% were controlled to <140/90 mm Hg and 12.0% to <130/80 mm Hg. Of those taking antihypertensive medication, 52.7% were taking only one drug class, 52.9% were controlled to <140/90 mm Hg and 24.4% to 130/80 mm Hg. In total, 190 314 (26.6% of total surveyed, 73.9% of hypertensives) participants screened were found to have untreated or inadequately treated hypertension. Only 27.6% of treated hypertensive participants were taking a statin. Substantial coexistence of diabetes, overweight and hypertension was apparent among participants.

**Conclusions** MMM confirms a high global burden of hypertension with low rates of awareness, treatment and control. In the absence of systematic BP screening in many countries, the results from MMM underscore the continued need for BP screening to detect and thereby control raised BP.

# WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Although raised blood pressure (BP) is the largest single contributor to the global burden of disease and mortality, awareness among those with hypertension is low, and detection, treatment and control rates of hypertension have worsened in recent years.

# WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- ⇒ With its global coverage, May Measurement Month offers a unique opportunity to present the hypertension care cascade and associates of raised BP, particularly in areas of the world under-represented in epidemiological research.
- ⇒ Among over 750 000 opportunistically screened adults from 60 countries worldwide, almost one in five participants had never had their BP measured and a high prevalence of hypertension with low rates of awareness, treatment and control was confirmed.
- ⇒ Only about a quarter of those on antihypertensive medication were controlled to the currently recommended BP target of <130/80 mm Hg.</p>

# HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

- ⇒ BP measurement is critical for the diagnosis and management of raised BP.
- ⇒ Therefore, these findings can inform and should persuade governments and health policymakers to improve BP screening and management facilities, and thereby reduce the enormous global health burden caused by raised BP.

# INTRODUCTION

Before the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2019, raised blood pressure (BP) was established as the biggest single contributor to the global burden of disease and mortality.<sup>1 2</sup> This in part reflects the data from high-income and lower-middle-income countries, which showed that hypertension affected approximately one-third of adults worldwide and that the rates of awareness or diagnosis of BMJ Global Health: first published as 10.1136/bmjgh-2024-016557 on 2 December 2024. Downloaded from https://gh.bmj.com on 22 January 2025 by guest. All rights, including for text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies, are reserved.

Received 13 June 2024 Accepted 23 October 2024

TB and GKK are joint first

authors.

To cite: Beaney T. Kerr GK.

Kiru G, et al. May Measurement

global blood pressure screening

2024:9:e016557. doi:10.1136/

Month 2022: results from the

campaign. BMJ Glob Health

bmjgh-2024-016557

Handling editor Lei Si

bmjgh-2024-016557).

Additional supplemental

material is published online only.

To view, please visit the journal

online (https://doi.org/10.1136/

TB and GKK contributed equally.

#### Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

**Correspondence to** Dr Thomas Beaney; thomas.beaney@imperial.ac.uk



#### **BMJ Global Health**

hypertension were on average less than 50% of those affected.<sup>3 4</sup> This is likely attributable to resource limitations for public health and health systems in these regions, as well as historic competition for resources from infectious diseases. In response to the shortfall in awareness of raised BP, and the pivotal role which diagnosis plays in determining the control rates of hypertension, the May Measurement Month (MMM) campaign was initiated in 2017 by the International Society of Hypertension.<sup>5</sup> Since its inception, the primary aim of MMM has been to raise awareness of the importance of BP screening at the individual and population level.<sup>6</sup>

Since then, in the four completed and published annual campaigns to date, almost five million adults have undergone opportunistic BP screening in over 100 countries and among those screened, over 1.1 million adults with untreated or inadequately treated hypertension have been detected.<sup>7–10</sup> However, despite the assumed benefits of the MMM campaigns among those screened up to 2021, the detection, treatment and control rates of hypertension among over 1.3 billion adults estimated to have raised BP worldwide, have reportedly worsened since 2019.<sup>11 12</sup>

This is presumably the result of the competing demands which the COVID-19 pandemic placed on healthcare professionals. Meanwhile, the World Heart Federation<sup>13</sup> and recent European guidelines on the management of hypertension<sup>14</sup> have emphasised the importance and valuable role of opportunistic screening for raised BP as central to improving the detection, and thereby management of raised BP. In keeping with these recommendations,<sup>13 14</sup> the MMM campaign has continued on an annual basis, with plans to expand in terms of countries involved and participants screened, as suggested by the results of the MMM22 campaign presented here compared with MMM21.<sup>9</sup>

MMM therefore continues to raise much needed awareness of the importance of BP measurement and in the process provides a temporary, inexpensive and pragmatic solution to the shortfall in BP screening programmes available in most countries of the world. Ultimately, the data generated are intended to inform and persuade governments and health policymakers to improve BP screening and management facilities and thereby reduce the enormous global health burden caused by raised BP. Given the impact of the pandemic on healthcare systems worldwide, it is important to understand the determinants of BP control, including the effects of infection and chronic complications of COVID-19, such as long COVID. The findings of MMM22 therefore incorporate data on several new variables, including duration of COVID-19 symptoms, in addition to data on arrhythmias and use of associated medications, and brings focus on the low rates of controlled BP achieved around the world using the more contemporary target of 130/80mm Hg for BP control recommended in the latest guidelines.<sup>14 15</sup> To address the increased focus on home BP monitoring in recent guidelines, for the first

time, MMM has collaborated with the ZOE Health Study app to include self-measured home BPs, providing the opportunity to compare home BP monitoring to opportunistically screened BP.

# METHODS

# Study design

MMM is a cross-sectional survey following a single protocol and conducted at screening sites across the world. Adult patients ( $\geq$ 18 years) are recruited opportunistically using convenience sampling. Screening was conducted from April to August 2022. National lead investigators were appointed in each collaborating country via MMM's network of investigators and through international and national hypertension and cardiovascular disease societies. They were responsible for establishing sites in a range of locations across their country and for obtaining ethical approval if required.

Volunteers were recruited and trained in BP measurement using materials available via the MMM website (https://maymeasure.org). As in previous MMM campaigns, OMRON donated additional BP devices where required, but any validated device, less than 5 years old, could be used. Three-quarters (75.6%) of participants were documented as having had their BP measured using an OMRON device. The campaign was promoted centrally through social media, trade press and newsletters, and locally, via television, online news segments and local press. Adult participants who were able to give informed consent to participate were recruited and three seated BP measurements were taken at 1 min intervals after 5 min rest. The mean of the second and third BP readings was used in analyses. A questionnaire collected anonymised information on demographics, medical history and lifestyle factors (see online supplemental appendix page 11). Weight was either measured, or, if equipment was not available, self-reported.

Sites were encouraged to enter data via the MMM mobile app, available in eight languages and developed for use in the field without internet connectivity. Alternatively, data could be collected on Microsoft Excel templates. In addition to the routine MMM data collection, self-measured home BPs were collated from ZOE Health Study app users in the UK and provided in an aggregated form (see online supplemental appendix for details).

Raised BP was defined as a systolic BP≥140mm Hg and/or diastolic≥90mm Hg based on the average of the second and third BP readings, and normal BP was defined as having a systolic BP<140mm Hg and diastolic BP<90mm Hg whilst on no antihypertensive medication. For ZOE participants, a lower threshold for raised BP of ≥135/85mm Hg was used, as recommended for home BP readings,<sup>14+16</sup> and normal BP was defined as having a systolic BP<135mm Hg and diastolic<85mm Hg.

Hypertension was defined as having raised BP or being on antihypertensive medication. Hypertension awareness was defined as having a previous diagnosis of hypertension or being on antihypertensive medication. Controlled BP was defined as taking antihypertensive medication and having normal BP. Control was also evaluated using the more contemporary target of<130/80 mm Hg currently recommended in many guidelines.<sup>14–16</sup>

Participants with raised BP were provided with a 'Ten Top Tips' summary of lifestyle and dietary advice for lowering BP and further advice for onward referral to healthcare services dependent on need and locally available services (see online supplemental appendix page 12).

#### Data handling and statistical analyses

Data were cleaned centrally according to prespecified cleaning criteria (online supplemental appendix page 13). Participants aged 18 years and above with at least one valid systolic and diastolic BP reading were retained. After cleaning, countries with fewer than ten participants were excluded.

Data were managed using R V.4.3.0<sup>17</sup> and analysed using Stata V.18.0.<sup>18</sup> Geographic regions were defined using the United Nations classification<sup>19</sup> with minor modifications to match previous MMM regional analyses and create groupings of comparable size. Countries were grouped into income categories based on World Bank 2021 estimates of gross national income per capita.<sup>20</sup> WHO-derived single-age world-standard population was used for age–sex standardisation, according to the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results group, and assuming an equal female-to-male ratio.<sup>21</sup>

For participants missing one or two BP measurements, multiple imputation using chained equations was used to estimate the missing mean reading (for details, see online supplemental appendix pages 15 and 16). Two imputation models were created. The first, 'complete', model was run only for men or women with complete information on age, ethnicity and use of antihypertensive medication. The second, 'partial', model was run on all participants and computed missing systolic and diastolic BP values using available BP readings only. For each model, 15 imputations were created corresponding approximately to the percentage of missing data in the mean BP readings and aiming for a Monte Carlo error of the estimates at under 10%.<sup>22</sup> The imputed BP values of the two models were combined such that only where the complete model could not impute values for an individual were imputed values from the partial model used. Please see online supplemental appendix tables S1–3 for more detail.

Analysis of measures of association used only those male or female individuals with complete data on age and use of antihypertensive medication. Linear mixed effects models were run separately for systolic and diastolic BP, assuming a random intercept model to account for country-level clustering effects. Age, sex, an interaction between age and sex and antihypertensive medication use were included as a priori predictors, given the known strong effects of these variables on BP. Age was included into models as a restricted cubic spline with five knots to allow for flexibility in modelling its relationship with BP.

As individual readings were not available for ZOE participants, ZOE data were neither included in the imputations of missing BP readings nor their analyses. Counts of ZOE participants were combined with imputed counts to summarise the overall number of hypertensive participants surveyed. ZOE data are included in the data referred to in table 1 and certain supplementary tables; otherwise, unless explicitly specified, results only refer to the 715518 non-ZOE MMM participants.

#### Patient and public involvement

Those measuring BPs were usually volunteers from the general public who are trained in BP measurement coordinated by MMM national investigators. The questionnaire is developed in collaboration with national

		Women		Men		
Region	Participants (n)*	Total	Mean age, years	Total	Mean age, years	On antihypertensive treatment
Americas	200923 (26.2%)	115971 (57.7%)	51.3	84223 (41.9%)	52.9	52437 (26.1%)
East Asia	190823 (24.9%)	94967 (49.8%)	45.6	95789 (50.1%)	46.4	11889 (6.2%)
Europe	114456 (14.9%)	70726 (61.8%)	59.1	43 447 (38.0%)	60.5	38072 (33.3%)
Northern Africa and Middle East	23075 (3.0%)	13142 (57.0%)	37.8	9925 (43.0%)	42.2	3474 (15.1%)
South Asia	91 475 (11.9%)	42064 (46.0%)	42.5	49069 (53.6%)	43.9	13399 (14.6%)
Southeast Asia and Australasia	66575 (8.7%)	39633 (59.5%)	47.7	26872 (40.4%)	47.6	18273 (27.4%)
Sub-Saharan Africa	78391 (10.2%)	42853 (54.7%)	41.9	35023 (44.7%)	41.9	7617 (9.7%)
Worldwide	765718 (100%)	419356 (54.8%)	48.7	344348 (45.0%)	48.9	145161 (19.0%)
*410 participants with s	sex recorded as 'other'	and 1604 participants v	vith unknow	n sex are not shown in t	he table due	e to small numbers.

investigators, based on feedback received from participants in previous years.

# RESULTS

Data were collected from a total of 765718 participants from 60 countries. Of these, 113242 (14.8%) were submitted via the MMM app and 50200 (6.6%) via the ZOE app.

# **Participant summary**

Of the 60 participating countries, 38 were upper-middleincome or high-income countries, representing 69.6% of participants (online supplemental appendix table S4). A complete description of survey participants can be found in online supplemental appendix table S5. The mean age of participants was 48.8 (SD 17.6) years, with more women than men (54.8% vs 45.0%) (table 1). The most commonly reported ethnicity was East/Southeast Asian (33.5%).

Most participants were screened in the Americas (26.2%), East Asia (24.9%) or Europe (15.0%). Distributions of age, sex and medication use differed by region (table 1). The region with the highest mean age was Europe (59.6 years), while the lowest mean age was Sub-Saharan Africa (41.9 years). The region with the lowest percentage of female participants was South Asia (46.0%), while 61.8% of participants from Europe were women. One-third of European participants were using antihypertensive medication compared with only 6.2% of East Asian participants.

Half (49.9%) of participants had had their BP measured in the past year, while 18.5% had never had their BP measured. Nearly half (45.8%) of participants were screened while visiting a hospital, clinic or pharmacy, and a total of 70.6% of participants were screened using an OMRON BP device. Three-quarters (75.3%) had never participated in a previous MMM campaign.

A total of 640987 (83.7%) of participants had three BP readings taken (online supplemental appendix table S1). Mean systolic and diastolic BP decreased across subsequent readings from an average first reading of 126.4/80.7 mm Hg to an average of 123.6/78.9 mm Hg for the third reading. Consequently, the estimates of the percentage with hypertension decreased from 39.6% (first reading) to 35.7% (third reading) (p<0.0001) (online supplemental appendix table S2). In linear mixed models of participants not taking antihypertensive medication, mean systolic and diastolic BP was higher in men than women until the age of 70 and 80 years, respectively, and thereafter, BP was similar between the two sexes (online supplemental appendix figure S1).

# Hypertension and awareness, treatment and control

After imputation of missing BP readings, of 715518 main participants, 257421 (36.0%) participants had hypertension. Of those with hypertension, 148438 (57.6%) were aware they had hypertension, 126966 (49.3%) were taking antihypertensive medication, 67207 (26.1%) were controlled to <140/90mm Hg and 12.0% to a stricter target of <130/80mm Hg. Of the 126966 participants taking antihypertensive medication, 52.9% were controlled to <140/90 mm Hg and 24.4% to <130/80 mm Hg. In total, 190314 participants (26.6% of all those surveyed and 73.9% of those with hypertension) had untreated or inadequately treated hypertension. In a sensitivity analysis, similar estimates of the proportion with hypertension were obtained by the complete case (35.9%), partial (36.1%) and full (36.0%) imputation models (online supplemental appendix table S3). Of those that had never had a BP measured before, 31653 (22.4%) had raised BP. Corresponding estimates of the parameters of the hypertension care cascade including ZOE app users can be found in online supplemental appendix table S6.

Estimates of the proportion with hypertension increased with age in both male and female participants (online supplemental appendix table S7). Hypertension was more prevalent in men than women across all age groups.

Proportions of those with hypertension and rates of awareness, treatment and control among them varied greatly by region (table 2). The percentage of participants with hypertension was highest in Europe at 48.9% and lowest in East Asia (26.6%). Awareness and treatment rates among hypertensives were both lowest in East Asia (24.1 and 23.4%, respectively). Control was lowest in East Asia where 13.6% of hypertensives were controlled to <140/90 mm Hg and 6.8% to <130/80 mm Hg.

Due to differences in the age and sex distributions of each region (table 1), age–sex standardised estimates of hypertension were calculated. After age–sex standardisation, differences in the percentages with hypertension between regions were attenuated (online supplemental appendix table S9) with hypertension rates in the Americas, Southeast Asia and Australasia, and Europe decreasing by more than 5% in absolute terms. See online supplemental appendix tables S10 and S11 for further detail on BP control to <130/80 mm Hg, including age– sex standardised estimates.

# **Medication**

Of the 715518 main MMM participants, 126966 (17.7%) were on antihypertensive medication. Of these, 66939 (52.7%) reported taking a single class of drugs, 43193 (34.0%) were on two and 15975 (12.6%) were on three or more.

Of the 100080 participants who reported the regularity of taking antihypertensive medication, 16994 (14.5%) stated they did not take their medication regularly. Of those not taking medication regularly, reasons included taking them only 'when needed' (42.6%), issues with medication availability (15.5%), forgetfulness (15.0%), expense (14.1%), a preference for alternative medications (5.8%) and side effects (3.4%). Of those who reported taking their antihypertensive medication regularly, 54.3% (average BP 135.9/82.5 mm Hg)

Table 2	Worldwi	de and regional es	stimates of percent	tage with hypertension	n, awareness, on trea	tment and control	lled of 715518 par	ticipants*	
Region		Number with hypertension	Percentage of total participants wil hypertension (°	Percentage of th hypertensives %) aware (%)	Percentage of hypertensives on medication (%)	Percentage of those on medication with BP <140/90 mm Hg (%)	Percentage of those on medication with BP<130/80mm Hg (%)	Percentage of all hypertensives with BP<140/90 mm Hg (%)	Percentage of all hypertensives with BP<130/80mm Hg (%)
Americas		86632	43.1	75.5	60.5	60.3	28.6	36.5	17.3
East Asia		50830	26.6	24.1	23.4	58.1	29.2	13.6	6.8
Europe (excludinç	g ZOE)	31 422	48.9	70.8	63.3	48.9	21.1	30.9	13.3
Northern and Midd	Africa Ile East	7277	31.5	51.2	47.7	49.0	20.7	23.4	9.9
Southeas and Austr	st Asia ralasia	27965	42.0	72.1	65.3	34.2	13.5	22.4	8.8
South Asi	ia	29 426	32.2	52.8	45.5	55.7	25.7	25.4	11.7
Sub-Saha Africa	aran	23 969	30.6	37.6	31.8	46.5	21.8	14.8	6.9
Worldwid	e	257421	36.0	57.6	49.3	52.9	24.4	26.1	12.0
*Associate	ad 95% CI	ls in online suppleme	ental appendix table	S8. Results for worldwide	e totals including partici	pants using the ZOI	E app are included in	online supplemental	appendix table S6.

had controlled BP compared with 42.5% (average BP  $140.1/85.5\,\mathrm{mm}$  Hg) of those not taking their medication regularly.

Of those on antihypertensive medication, 52.3% paid nothing for their consultations and 38.2% paid nothing for their medication. Overall, 36.7% of participants on antihypertensive medication paid for neither their consultations nor medication, of whom 54.2% were controlled (average BP 136.2/82.5 mm Hg) compared with 51.9% (average BP 136.6/83.2 mm Hg) of those who reported paying at least something.

Of those on antihypertensive medication, 35032 (27.6%) reported taking a statin. Of the 19208 (2.7%) participants with a history of stroke or myocardial infarction, 8523 (44.4%) reported they were taking a statin, 8558 (44.6%) were on aspirin and 7855 (59.1%) were not taking antihypertensive medication. Of the 28657 participants with a history of atrial fibrillation or irregular heartbeat, 10.5% reported taking warfarin or another anticoagulant medication.

#### COVID-19

Information on COVID-19 test, vaccination and symptom history were collected from 715518 MMM participants. A total of 105930 (14.8%) participants reported a previous positive test for COVID-19, of whom 64.6% had a positive test in the past year, while 29.4% received a positive test more than 12 months ago. Of 97710 respondents who reported a positive test and on the duration of their symptoms, 80.3% stated their symptoms lasted 3 months or less, 9.8% that their symptoms lasted three to 6 months and a further 9.8% stated their symptoms lasted for more than 6 months. About three-quarters of participants (n=552350) stated they had received a COVID-19 vaccination and 336284 (47.0%) reported having received three doses.

Small but statistically significant differences in adjusted average BP by the COVID-19 risk factors were apparent (figure 1). Any previous COVID-19 vaccination was associated with slightly lower systolic and diastolic BP. Having a previous positive COVID-19 test was associated with higher diastolic, but not systolic BP, compared with participants with no previous positive test. Of those who had a previous positive test, having COVID-19 symptoms lasting greater than 3 months was associated with higher average systolic and diastolic BP.

# Z0E

A total of 50200 (6.6%) respondents from the UK measured their own BPs at home and were included via the ZOE application. Compared with all other European MMM participants (n=64256), ZOE participants were older (78.3 vs 40.5% over 60 years old) and more frequently women (65.8 vs 58.7%). ZOE participants had a slightly higher prevalence of hypertension (49.7 vs 48.9%) but, among hypertensive participants, a greater proportion of ZOE participants were treated (67.5 vs 63.3%), aware (73.8 vs 70.8%) and controlled (37.5 vs



**Figure 1** Difference in mean blood pressure (BP) in those with each COVID-19-related factor compared with those without. Estimates are derived from linear mixed models adjusted for age, sex and antihypertensive medication use. Error bars represent 95% CIs. Analysis of COVID-19 symptom duration was done for those with a previous positive COVID-19 test only. Corresponding estimates can be found in online supplemental appendix table S18.



**Figure 2** Difference in mean blood pressure (BP) in those with each condition compared with those without. Estimates are derived from linear mixed models adjusted for age, sex and antihypertensive medication use. Error bars represent 95% CIs. Corresponding estimates can be found in online supplemental appendix table S13.

30.9%) compared with other European participants. A full description of the estimates of the parameters of the hypertension care cascade for European MMM participants including ZOE app users can be found in online supplemental apendix table S6.

#### Associations

Small differences in BP were observed between those with a history of certain cardiovascular or metabolic conditions and those without (figure 2). Participants with a diagnosed history of irregular heartbeat had significantly lower systolic but not diastolic BP, those with diabetes had significantly higher systolic but significantly lower diastolic BP, those with a history of stroke had significantly higher systolic and diastolic BP and those with a history of myocardial infarction had lower diastolic BP. No significant difference in BP between those with and without a diagnosis of heart failure was observed.

Of 257521 participants with hypertension, one-third (33.8%) had either diabetes or were in the top weight quartile ( $\geq$ 78kg) (online supplemental appendix figure S2). Half of participants (50.8%) in the top quartile of weight were hypertensive. Over half (65.9%) of the 47914 participants with diabetes were hypertensive, 31.1% of whom were also in the top quartile of weight.

Average diastolic BP showed a continuous graded increase with increasing pulse rate values (figure 3). In contrast, systolic BP decreased as average pulse rate rose from <60 to 70 beats per minute (BPM) but thereafter only increased with pulse rates>90 BPM (figure 3). This relationship was not affected by stratification by antihypertensive medication use (online supplemental appendix figure S3).

Associations between other participant characteristics and average systolic or diastolic BP were in line with previously reported findings from other MMM campaigns (online supplemental appendix tables S12–19).<sup>7810</sup>

#### DISCUSSION

In MMM 2022, over 750 000 adults from 60 countries were included and over 250000 participants (36.9%) were classified as hypertensive, of whom 40% were unaware, almost half were untreated and only about one in seven were controlled to currently recommended targets. Rates of awareness, treatment and control were worse in the African and Asian regions than in Europe and the Americas. These regional differences were partly explained by variation in age and sex distributions (online supplemental appendix tables S9 and S11). However, regional differences in estimates of hypertension and its management persisted after age-sex standardisation. This may reflect real underlying differences between populations but could be attributable to variation in recruitment methods. Although we cannot directly quantify whether MMM raises awareness at a population level where screening took place, the individual impact is evident from the 200000 people who were found to have either untreated, or inadequately treated hypertension and



**Figure 3** Difference in mean blood pressure (BP) across pulse rate categories compared with a pulse rate of 60 beats per minute. Estimates are derived from linear mixed models adjusted for age, sex and antihypertensive medication use. Error bars represent 95% CIs. Corresponding estimates can be found in online supplemental appendix table S19.

were provided with lifestyle advice and directed to further follow-up as required.

In those with treated hypertension, our findings highlight that BP control rates remain poor globally. Using a conservative target of 140/90mm Hg, 46% had uncontrolled BP, rising to 72% using the target of 130/80 mm Hg recommended for most patients by several recent guidelines.<sup>14–16</sup> Reasons for poor control rates are complex and multifactorial, but our finding that half of those on antihypertensive medication were taking only a single drug class is likely to be a significant factor and contradicts recommendations in all contemporary guidelines.<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, this suggests that promoting more frequent initiation of treatment with two-drug combinations, and greater use of single-pill combinations including two or more drug classes might represent an effective approach for improving BP control.<sup>14-16</sup> Considering broader cardiovascular prevention among patients with hypertension, only 27.6% were taking a statin, considerably less than recommended by current guidelines.

For the first time, MMM22 included a subset of participants who contributed with self-measured home BPs, collected via the ZOE Health Study app. These participants tended to be older, with slightly higher rates of hypertension, but better awareness, treatment and control than other European participants. This is unsurprising given these digitally enabled and healthconscious ZOE participants were self-selected users of a health app who may have greater access or engagement with effective BP management strategies. Including those collected via the ZOE app, treatment and control rates were similar to previous years, but when excluding those from ZOE, rates were slightly lower (online supplemental appendix table S20).

The screening strategy used in MMM is, by design, opportunistic and intended to screen any consenting participants, in contrast to national prevalence studies which use representative sampling. Nevertheless, despite the inclusion of non-representative samples screened in different countries and across the years, the MMM results have been remarkably consistent in terms of global proportions with hypertension and in the rates of awareness, treatment, and control. Although we cannot directly interpret trends over time due to the convenience sampling, the slightly higher percentage of participants with hypertension in 2022 compared with previous years (online supplemental appendix table S20) may be partially explained by the relatively higher mean age. Furthermore, existing evidence suggests that the COVID-19 pandemic has had a detrimental impact on BP diagnosis, treatment initiation and follow-up rates.<sup>11 12</sup> In conjunction with our results, these findings suggest that

lower treatment and control rates in MMM22 may represent a persisting negative impact from the pandemic on healthcare access for diagnosis, initiation of medication, BP control and follow-up.

With its global coverage, particularly in areas of the world under-represented in epidemiological research, MMM offers a unique opportunity to examine the determinants of raised BP levels. Although the primary aim of MMM has remained unchanged, we have expanded our scope to recruit a wider range of participants and use the data collected to provide a robust research platform to allow the evaluation of novel aspects of health. Each year, the questionnaire is updated to provide new insights into risk factors, comorbidities and management. Previous analyses of MMM data, for example, have highlighted poor BP control in stroke survivors,<sup>23</sup> associations with specific multimorbidity patterns<sup>24</sup> and the determinants of the variation between first, second and third BP readings.<sup>25 26</sup> In MMM22, we found small differences in average BP levels in people with comorbidities, with slightly higher systolic and diastolic BPs on average in people with a previous stroke, consistent with previous findings.<sup>23</sup> We found a clear direct relationship between heart rate and diastolic BP, while systolic BP appears to have a U-shaped relationship with increasing heart rate (figure 3), a finding that surprisingly was not dependent on antihypertensive medication use. Although as yet unexplained, we found the same pattern in previous global MMM data.<sup>10</sup>

In MMM22, information was collected on the persistence of COVID-19 symptoms, finding that 18.2% of participants had symptoms ongoing over 3 months from onset, consistent with a diagnosis of long COVID.<sup>27</sup> Those with a history of long COVID had a small, but statistically significant increase in both systolic and diastolic BP (figure 2), but it was not possible to distinguish between current or resolved symptoms at the time of BP measurement. Evidence suggests that hypertension may be both a risk factor for long COVID, and a downstream complication of infection, which may explain this association, but there is a need for further research to better understand the risk of long COVID on longer term cardiovascular health.<sup>28</sup>

#### **Strengths and limitations**

Despite the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic resulting in a reduced number of countries compared with prepandemic years, the MMM campaign has regained its momentum in 2022, with 60 countries screening over three-quarters of a million people. The 2022 questionnaire was updated to capture new aspects of health, providing novel insight into factors relevant to BP and its management, including long COVID and arrhythmia management. Also, for the first time, we present regional control rates using the more contemporary BP target of<130/80 mm Hg, reflecting latest guidelines.<sup>14 15</sup>

Given the convenience sampling, estimates from MMM at a global, regional or national level should not

be interpreted as representative estimates of general population prevalence, treatment and control. Likewise, comparisons between regions and countries should be interpreted cautiously, given likely differences in the population structure and sampling techniques. Despite these limitations, findings from MMM represent real world results from opportunistic screening programmes, which are more easily implementable, particularly in those settings lacking in robust health data infrastructures, where more systematic screening may currently be out of reach. Furthermore, despite the lack of representative sampling, inferences from associations within the sample are internally valid<sup>29</sup> and a strength of the data derives from its large and diverse mix of participants across many countries. Consequently, clear, strong associations have been shown between various measures of MMM BP management and rates of premature stroke mortality at a national level.<sup>23</sup>

A further limitation is that the design of MMM is crosssectional, without follow-up data to evaluate the impact of screening. Participants with raised BP are provided with lifestyle advice and advice on further medical review dependent on local resources, but we are unable to assess whether participants received further follow-up, nor the longer-term impact on their BP. Despite this, evidence from a study of community screening in China, which screened individuals and provided advice in a similar manner to MMM, found a reduction in systolic BP that persisted at 2 years.<sup>30</sup> In future MMM campaigns, we plan to incorporate follow-up of a subset of participants to better understand the long-term impact.

# CONCLUSION

Based on screening over three-quarters of a million people in 60 countries, the results of MMM22 underline the persistent global challenge relating to low rates of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension worldwide, with 200000 of those screened found to have untreated, or inadequately treated hypertension. Given that hypertension remains the single biggest contributor to mortality worldwide, in the context of a likely worsening of diagnosis, treatment and control rates during and after the pandemic, the case for screening is stronger than ever. Without the critical step of BP measurement, which is required for the diagnosis of raised BP, improvement of BP control cannot be realised. Although systematic screening is optimal and much needed, it remains unattainable in many regions. In the meantime, MMM offers a practical and low-cost route to improving awareness and detection of raised BP at a global level, which we aim to continue annually.

#### Author affiliations

 $^{1}\mbox{Department}$  of Primary Care and Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>NIHR Applied Research Collaboration Northwest London, Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>3</sup>Imperial Clinical Trials Unit, Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>4</sup>Khanda Ltd, London, UK

<sup>5</sup>Dobney Hypertension Centre, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

<sup>6</sup>Royal Perth Hospital, Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Western Australia, Australia <sup>7</sup>University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>8</sup>George Institute for Global Health, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>9</sup>Third Department of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Sotiria Hospital, Athens, Greece

<sup>10</sup>Department of Hypertension, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

<sup>11</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

<sup>12</sup>Sociedad Argentina de Hipertension Arterial, Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

 <sup>13</sup>Universidad Centro-Occidental Lisandro Alvarado, Barquisimeto, Venezuela
 <sup>14</sup>Department of Neuroscience and Behavioral Medicine, University of Santo Tomas Hospital, Manila, The Philippines

<sup>15</sup>Grupo de Expertos en Hipertensión Arterial, Mexico City, Mexico

<sup>16</sup>Masira Research Institute, Universidad de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia

X Thomas Beaney @DrThomasBeaney

**Acknowledgements** Our sincere thanks to Omron for the donations of BP devices, World Hypertension League, and Professor Daniel T Lackland for endorsing the extension of World Hypertension Day to May Measurement Month and to Ranjit Rayat (Editing Assistant) for her superb, dedicated effort and to all volunteer staff and participants.

**Contributors** TB, GKK and NP planned and wrote the first draft of the manuscript and data interpretation. NP, AES, GK, MS and GSS conceptualised the original design of the MMM campaign, developed the methodology and performed critical evaluation and interpretation of the results. J-gW, MJM, RH-H, ABFD, LA and PL-J coordinated screening sites, which included data collection and transmission. GKK was responsible for data curation, formal analysis and visualisation. TB and NP supervised the project. HM was the project manager of the MMM campaign. All authors participated in the reviewing and editing of the manuscript and have read and approved the final version. TB, GKK and NP are guarantors and accept full responsibility for the finished work, had access to the data and controlled the decision to publish.

**Funding** May Measurement Month (MMM) was generously supported by Servier through the Institut Ia Conference Hippocrate BMS, Pfizer, Medtronic and USASCP. As a supporter of the study, Servier had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation or writing of the report. TB is supported by a fellowship from the Wellcome Trust 4i programme at Imperial College London. The first and corresponding authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Competing interests TB, GK, HM, J-gW, MJM, RH-H, ABFD: Nothing to declare. AES is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Investigator Grant (APP2017504). AES has received speaker fees from OMRON, Medtronic, Aktiia, Servier, Sanofi and Novartis and is an advisory board member for Skylabs and Abbott. GSS has received lecture and consultancy fees by Servier and OMRON. LA has received support from several companies which manufacture blood pressure (BP)-lowering agents, for consultancy fees and for arranging and speaking at educational meetings: Asofarma, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squib, Daiichi Sankyo, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Menarini, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis, Servier, Silanes, Stendhal and Takeda. He holds no stocks and shares in any such companies. MS has received research support from Medtronic. Abbott, ReCor and Servier Australia. He serves on scientific advisory boards for Abbott, AstraZeneca, BI, Servier, Novartis and Medtronic and has received speaker fees from Medtronic, Abbott and Merck. NP has received financial support from several pharmaceutical companies which manufacture BP-lowering agents, for consultancy fees (Servier), research projects and staff (Servier, Pfizer) and for arranging and speaking at educational meetings (AstraZeneca, LRI Therapharma, Napi, Servier, Sanofi, Eva Pharma and Pfizer). He holds no stocks and shares in any such companies. PL-J has received speaker fees from Abbott, Menarini, Megalabs and Servier. GKK is supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research Applied Research Collaboration Northwest London. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

#### Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants. Where a country requires ethical approval to carry out the MMM campaign in a given country, the MMM country lead is responsible for completing the relevant paperwork and submission to secure ethical approval, and all associated costs are covered by MMM core funding. Once approval has been secured, copies of the approval documents/letter are sent to the central MMM global team for reference. At the time of each new campaign briefing the MMM global team ensures that each country lead understands the importance of securing ethical approval for their country, if required, to ensure that any data collected can be used and referenced for global HTN awareness and rates once the campaign has concluded. In most countries, ethical approval is not required as MMM does not collect identifiable information for each participant. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available on reasonable request. The data used in this study are available for research purposes on approval from the May Measurement Month Management Board (https://maymeasure.org/about/). For further information or to request access, please contact the corresponding authors TB or NP.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### ORCID iDs

Thomas Beaney http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9709-7264 Gabriele Keziah Kerr http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3170-3211 Markus Schlaich http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1765-0195 Aletta E Schutte http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9217-4937 Neil Poulter http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6292-997X

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Poulter N, Prabhakaran D, Hypertension CM. *Lancet* 2015;386:801–12.
- 2 GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* 2020;396:1223–49.
- 3 Zhou B, Danaei G, Stevens GA, *et al.* Long-term and recent trends in hypertension awareness, treatment, and control in 12 high-income countries: an analysis of 123 nationally representative surveys. *The Lancet* 2019;394:639–51.
- 4 Geldsetzer P, Manne-Goehler J, Marcus M-E, et al. The state of hypertension care in 44 low-income and middle-income countries: a cross-sectional study of nationally representative individual-level data from 1.1 million adults. The Lancet 2019;394:652–62.
- 5 Poulter NR, Lackland DT. May Measurement Month: a global blood pressure screening campaign. *The Lancet* 2017;389:1678–80.
- 6 Poulter NR, Borghi C, Castillo RR, *et al*. May Measurement Month 2017: Results of 39 national blood pressure screening programmes. *Eur Heart J Suppl* 2019;21:D1–4.
- 7 Beaney T, Schutte AE, Tomaszewski M, et al. May Measurement Month 2017: an analysis of blood pressure screening results worldwide. Lancet Glob Health 2018;6:e736–43.
- 8 Beaney T, Burrell LM, Castillo RR, *et al*. May Measurement Month 2018: a pragmatic global screening campaign to raise awareness

# <u>ð</u>

# BMJ Global Health

of blood pressure by the International Society of Hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:2006–17.

- 9 Beaney T, Schutte AE, Stergiou GS, et al. May Measurement Month 2019: The Global Blood Pressure Screening Campaign of the International Society of Hypertension. *Hypertension* 2020;76:333–41.
- 10 Beaney T, Wang W, Schlaich MP, et al. Global blood pressure screening during the COVID-19 pandemic: results from the May Measurement Month 2021 campaign. J Hypertens 2023;41:1446–55.
- 11 Dale CE, Takhar R, Carragher R, *et al*. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cardiovascular disease prevention and management. *Nat Med* 2023;29:219–25.
- 12 Gotanda H, Liyanage-Don N, Moran AE, *et al.* Changes in Blood Pressure Outcomes Among Hypertensive Individuals During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Time Series Analysis in Three US Healthcare Organizations. *Hypertension* 2022;79:2733–42.
- 13 Jeemon P, Séverin T, Amodeo C, et al. World Heart Federation Roadmap for Hypertension - A 2021 Update. Glob Heart 2021;16:63.
- 14 Mancia G, Kreutz R, Brunström M, et al. 2023 ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension: Endorsed by the International Society of Hypertension (ISH) and the European Renal Association (ERA). J Hypertens 2023;41:1874–2071.
- 15 Unger T, Borghi C, Charchar F, et al. 2020 International Society of Hypertension Global Hypertension Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension* 2020;75:1334–57.
- 16 Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, et al. ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). Eur Heart J 2018;39:3021–104.
- 17 R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. 2023.
- 18 StataCorp. Stata statistical software: release 18. 2023.

- 19 UN Statistics Division. Standard country or area codes for statistical use (M49). 2023.
- The World Bank. World bank country and lending groups. 2023.
  Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program. Standard populations - single ages.
- Seaman SR, Bartlett JW, White IR. Multiple imputation of missing covariates with non-linear effects and interactions: an evaluation of statistical methods. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2012;12:46.
- 23 Lin Q, Ye T, Ye P, *et al.* Hypertension in stroke survivors and associations with national premature stroke mortality: data for 2.5 million participants from multinational screening campaigns. *Lancet Glob Health* 2022;10:e1141–9.
- 24 Janssen C, Wang W, Schlaich M, et al. S-53-4: HYPERTENSION AND ITS ASSOCIATIONS WITH MULTIMORBIDITY: AN ANALYSIS OF 4 MILLION PARTICIPANTS FROM MAY MEASUREMENT MONTH 2017–2019. J Hypertens (Los Angel) 2023;41:e117–8.
- 25 Kerr GK, Schlaich M, Schutte AE, et al. PS-P04-7: ALERTING REACTION OF BLOOD PRESSURE AND AGE: MAY MEASUREMENT MONTH 2019. J Hypertens (Los Angel) 2023;41:e247–8.
- 26 Kerr GK, Schlaich M, Schutte AE, et al. PS-P04-8: COMPARISON OF INDICES OF WITHIN-VISIT BLOOD PRESSURE VARIABILITY IN MAY MEASUREMENT MONTH 2019. J Hypertens (Los Angel) 2023;41:e248.
- 27 World Health Organisation. Post COVID-19 condition (long COVID). 2022.
- 28 Matsumoto C, Shibata S, Kishi T, et al. Long COVID and hypertension-related disorders: a report from the Japanese Society of Hypertension Project Team on COVID-19. *Hypertens Res* 2023;46:601–19.
- 29 Sedgwick P. Convenience sampling. BMJ 2013;347:f6304.
- 30 Chen S, Sudharsanan N, Huang F, et al. Impact of community based screening for hypertension on blood pressure after two years: regression discontinuity analysis in a national cohort of older adults in China. BMJ 2019;366.